

## **NEW TRADE THEORY AND ECONOMIC INTEGRATION: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS**

**Parousis Evaggelos<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 25.04.2024, Accepted: 10.05.2024

---

### ***Abstract***

*In this paper, an extensive examination is conducted to explore the connection between New Trade Theory (NTT) and Economic Integration. NTT, originally proposed by Paul Krugman during the 1970s and 1980s, and places emphasis on factors such as economies of scale, network effects, and imperfect competition as key determinants of trade patterns. The insights provided by NTT have had a significant impact on the process of economic integration, which involves the reduction or elimination of trade barriers and the coordination of economic policies among different nations. The paper delves into how NTT has transformed the conversation surrounding economic integration, with a particular focus on its implications for policy development and considerations related to welfare. NTT presents a challenge to conventional theories of international trade, such as the Ricardian model, by illustrating that countries can engage in trade even if they possess similar resources and technologies. This is achieved by placing emphasis on economies of scale, network effects, and imperfect competition. NTT offers valuable insights into the process of economic integration between nations. Therefore, policymakers should consider these insights when formulating and implementing economic integration agreements. Economic integration has the potential to foster competition, innovation, and productivity growth, which can ultimately result in overall welfare improvements. However, it is crucial for policymakers to also develop policies that ensure the equitable distribution of the benefits of economic integration across all segments of society.*

**Keywords:** *new trade; theory economic integration; economies of scale; network effects; imperfect competition.*

**JEL Codes:** *F02, F15, B50*

---

### **Introduction**

The understanding of international trade has undergone a significant transformation thanks to the emergence of New Trade Theory (NTT). Developed by Paul Krugman during the 1970s and 1980s, NTT emphasizes the impact of economies of scale, network effects, and imperfect competition on trade patterns. In contrast, economic integration refers to the concerted efforts of countries to diminish trade barriers and coordinate economic policies.

---

<sup>1</sup> PhD student, SWU “Neofit Rilski”, Blagoevgrad, E-mail: [parousis1978@gmail.com](mailto:parousis1978@gmail.com), ORCID ID: 0009 0006 6849 4360

This study delves into the interplay between NTT and Economic Integration, investigating the influence of the former on the latter and the potential implications for global trade dynamics.

### **New Trade Theory: An Overview**

The concept of New Trade Theory posits that the manner in which countries engage in international trade can be understood by considering the benefits derived from economies of scale and network effects. According to Krugman's model, when a country is able to produce a wide range of goods, the average cost of those goods decreases, thereby granting a competitive edge to nations with substantial economies of scale. NTT also underscores the importance of imperfect competition, suggesting that companies may maintain monopolistic or oligopolistic positions in global markets, leading to trade between countries even in the absence of comparative advantage (Deraniyagala & Fine, 2001).

**Economies of Scale:** The reduction in average production costs that occurs when production is scaled up is known as economies of scale. These economies of scale are of great importance in the New Trade Theory (NTT) as they influence the patterns of trade between nations. By increasing the scale of production, firms are able to lower the average cost per unit, which enhances their competitiveness in global markets (Todorova, 2015). This phenomenon contributes to intra-industry trade, where countries specialize in producing different variations of similar products. As a result, NTT challenges traditional theories of international trade, such as the Ricardian model, by demonstrating that countries can engage in trade even if they possess similar resources and technologies. The role of economies of scale is crucial in determining how economic integration impacts trade patterns. Enhancing competitiveness and achieving greater overall welfare is possible for countries through the utilization of economies of scale. However, policymakers must exercise caution when formulating and executing strategic trade policies in order to achieve favorable results and prevent the negative consequences associated with protectionism. Further research should focus on investigating the interplay between New Trade Theory, economic integration, and economies of scale to offer policymakers valuable insights into the strategic considerations they should take into account. (Krugman, 1979; Krugman,1980).

**Network Effects:** When a product or service gains more users, its value increases due to network effects. NTT examines how network effects contribute to the concentration of industries and economic activities in particular regions. This concentration leads to the formation of clusters, which further attract economic activity. Silicon Valley serves as a prime example, with its abundance of technology firms fostering an environment that promotes innovation and growth. NTT proposes that network effects also impact trade

patterns by promoting the clustering of economic activity in specific locations (Hübler, 2016).

NTT highlights the significance of imperfect competition in the realm of global trade. Within a market characterized by monopolistic competition, businesses have the ability to distinguish their products, enabling them to set prices that exceed marginal costs. This dynamic serves as motivation for companies to venture into international markets, irrespective of whether they possess a comparative advantage. Consequently, trade can transpire between nations, even in the absence of substantial disparities in factor endowments or technological advancements (Krugman, 1985).

### **Economic Integration: A Conceptual Framework**

The process of economic integration involves countries working together to remove trade barriers and coordinate economic policies. This can occur at various levels, from creating free trade areas to achieving complete economic and political union (Shishmanova, 2013). The primary goals of economic integration are to encourage economic cooperation, improve efficiency, and stimulate economic growth. The valuable insights provided by NTT play a significant role in shaping economic integration (Baldwin & Venables, 1995).

There are various levels of economic integration that countries can pursue. The first level is a Free Trade Area (FTA), where member countries eliminate tariffs and quotas on goods traded between them. However, each country still maintains its own tariffs on goods from countries outside the agreement. Moving up the ladder, a Customs Union takes it a step further by not only eliminating tariffs and quotas among member countries but also implementing a common external tariff on imports from non-member countries. A Common Market combines the features of a customs union with the free movement of factors of production, such as labor and capital, among member countries. An Economic Union goes even further by harmonizing economic policies, including monetary, fiscal, and social policies, among member countries. Finally, a Political Union represents the highest level of integration, creating a single political entity with a common government and institutions (Bhagwati & Panagariya, 1996).

### **Implications of NTT for Economic Integration**

The concept of NTT has far-reaching implications for the integration of economies, particularly in terms of policy formulation and considerations for welfare. When it comes to trade policy, NTT highlights the need to go beyond traditional measures like tariffs and quotas. Instead, there should be a focus on fostering economies of scale and network effects. This can be achieved through investments in education, infrastructure, and research

and development, which in turn drive innovation and productivity growth. Additionally, NTT suggests that industrial policy plays a vital role in promoting economic integration. Governments can strategically target specific industries or sectors to encourage the concentration of economic activity, leading to the emergence of regional or global hubs for innovation and production. Lastly, NTT underscores the significance of competition policy in regulating markets and preventing the abuse of market power (Tosheva, 2011). By promoting competition, countries can ensure that the benefits of economic integration are distributed fairly among all stakeholders (Mayes, 1978; Estevadeordal, Giordano & Ramos, 2014: 249-264).

### **Welfare Considerations**

The concept of consumer welfare is highlighted by NTT, which argues that economic integration has the potential to benefit consumers by offering a greater selection of products and reducing prices. Through the promotion of intra-industry trade, economic integration enables consumers to enjoy a broader array of goods and services at more affordable rates (Koniari, 2017). Producers can also reap the rewards of economic integration through enhanced access to expansive markets and the advantages of economies of scale. When trade barriers are eliminated, firms can increase their production capabilities and extend their reach to a wider range of customers. The concept of overall welfare is multifaceted, as economic integration has the potential to generate both positive and negative outcomes. It is essential for policymakers to implement strategies that guarantee a fair distribution of the advantages of economic integration across all sectors of society, as recommended by NTT (Corden, 1997).

### **Case Studies**

European Union (EU): The European Union (EU) stands as a prime example of economic integration, having achieved remarkable success. Through the removal of trade barriers and the synchronization of economic policies, the EU has effectively established a unified market boasting a staggering 450 million consumers. NTT offers valuable perspectives on the journey of European integration, placing emphasis on the significant role played by economies of scale, network effects, and imperfect competition in molding trade dynamics within the EU.

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA): The establishment of NAFTA in 1994 marked the creation of a free trade zone encompassing the United States, Canada, and Mexico. NTT played a significant role in shaping and executing NAFTA, with a particular focus on fostering economies of scale and network effects. Through the removal of tariffs

and quotas, NAFTA has facilitated the concentration of production and the development of localized centers of economic vitality.

## **Conclusion**

The implications of New Trade Theory (NTT) for economic integration are substantial, particularly when it comes to policy development and considerations of welfare. NTT sheds light on the dynamics of economic integration among nations by highlighting the importance of economies of scale, network effects, and imperfect competition. Policymakers need to incorporate the insights offered by NTT into their formulation and implementation of economic integration agreements. Economic integration, by fostering competition, innovation, and productivity growth, has the potential to generate overall welfare improvements. However, policymakers must also devise policies that ensure the equitable distribution of the benefits of economic integration across all segments of society.

## **REFERENCES**

- Baldwin, R., & Venables, A. (1995). Regional Economic Integration. In *Handbook of International Economics*, 3, pp. 1597–1644, <https://econpapers.repec.org/bookchap/eeeintchp/3-31.htm>
- Bhagwati, J., & Panagariya, A. (1996). *The Economics of Preferential Trade Agreements*. American Enterprise Institute. <https://www.aei.org/research-products/book/the-economics-of-preferential-trade-agreements/>
- Corden, W. M. (1997). *Trade policy and economic welfare*. Oxford University Press.
- Deraniyagala, S., & Fine, B. (2001). New trade theory versus old trade policy: a continuing enigma. *Cambridge journal of economics*, 25(6), 809-825. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/23600655>
- Estevadeordal, A., Giordano, P., & Ramos, B. (2014). *Trade and Economic Integration*. In *Routledge Handbook of Latin America in the World*, Routledge. <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781315753683-23/trade-economic-integration-antoni-estevadeordal-paolo-giordano-barbara-ramos>
- Helpman, E., & Krugman, P. (1985). *Market Structure and Foreign Trade: Increasing Returns, Imperfect Competition, and the International Economy*. The MIT Press. <https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262580878/market-structure-and-foreign-trade/>
- Hübler, M. (2016). A new trade network theory: What economists can learn from engineers. *Economic modelling*, 55(C), 115-126. DOI: 10.1016/j.econmod.2016.02.009, <https://ideas.repec.org/a/eee/ecmode/v55y2016icp115-126.html>

- Koniari, E. (2017). Zones For Free Trade Between Balkan Countries And Europe In General During The Economic Crisis In Greece. *Entrepreneurship*, 5(2), 124-130. [https://ep.swu.bg/images/pdfarticles/2017/ZONES FOR FREE TRADE BETWEEN BALKAN COUNTRIES.pdf](https://ep.swu.bg/images/pdfarticles/2017/ZONES_FOR_FREE_TRADE_BETWEEN_BALKAN_COUNTRIES.pdf)
- Krugman, P. (1979). A model of innovation, technology transfer, and the world distribution of income. *Journal of Political Economy*, 87(2), 253–266. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/260755>, [https://econpapers.repec.org/article/ucpjpolec/v\\_3a87\\_3ay\\_3a1979\\_3ai\\_3a2\\_3ap\\_3a253-66.htm](https://econpapers.repec.org/article/ucpjpolec/v_3a87_3ay_3a1979_3ai_3a2_3ap_3a253-66.htm)
- Krugman, P. (1980). Scale economies, product differentiation, and the pattern of trade. *American Economic Review*, 70(5), 950–959. <https://www.aeaweb.org/aer/top20/70.5.950-959.pdf>
- Mayes, D. G. (1978). The effects of economic integration on trade. *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 17(1), 1-25. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/bla/jcmkts/v17y1978i1p1-25.html>
- Shishmanova, M. (2013). Development To A More Effective Regional Policy. *Economics and Management*, 9(1), 57-67. <https://ideas.repec.org/a/neo/journal/v9y2013i1p57-67.html>
- Todorova, L. (2015). Determination Of The Risk Characteristics Of Commercial Enterprises-An Important Component Of Trade Policy At The Sale With Deferred Payment. *Entrepreneurship*, 3(1), 115-140. <https://ep.swu.bg/images/pdfarticles/2015pdf/DETERMINATION%20OF%20THE%20RISK%20CHARACTERISTICS%20OF%20COMMERCIAL.pdf>
- Tosheva, S. (2011). Trade And Economic Relations Between The Republic Of Bulgaria And The State Of Izrael. *Economics and Management*, 7(4), 9-14. <http://em.swu.bg/images/SpisanieIkonomikaupload/SpisanieIkonomika2011/TRADE%20AND%20ECONOMIC%20RELATIONS%20BETWEEN%20THE%20REPUBLIC%20OF%20BULGARIA%20AND.pdf>